

Icarus Wallet Security Audit

Final Report, 2018-10-17

FOR PUBLIC RELEASE



Contents

1	Summary Findings		
2			
	2.1	IC-CRY-F-001: Missing sanity checks in Ed25519 API	4
	2.2	IC-CRY-F-002: Unsafe ReadBuffer methods implementation	5
	2.3	IC-CAR-F-003: Panic upon deserialization of malformed blocks	6
	2.4	IC-CJW-F-005: Potential out-of-bound read	7
	2.5	IC-CJW-F-007: Lack of parameter validation in signing and verify functions	9
3	Observations		
	3.1	IC-CRY-O-001: Zeroization of memory holding sensitive data	12
	3.2	IC-CAR-O-002: Potentially unsafe unwrap() calls	12
	3.3	IC-CAR-O-003: Wallet seed derivation method does not check mnemonic length	13
	3.4	IC-CAR-O-004: Lack of unit tests of BIP44 logic	13
	3.5	IC-CAR-O-005: Clippy warnings	14
	3.6	IC-CAR-O-oo6: No explicit handling of failed decryption	14
	3.7	IC-CAR-O-007: Potential risk of integer overflow	15
	3.8	IC-WFE-O-oo8: No certificate/key pinning	16
	3.9	IC-WFE-O-009: Dependencies	16

lc	:arus S	Securit	ty A	ıudi	dit	IOHK

4	About	19
	3.11 IC-CJW-O-o11: Paper wallet incorrect documentation	18
	3.10 IC-WBE-O-010: API input validation	17

1 Summary

IOHK hired Kudelski Security to perform a security assessment of the "Icarus" Cardano wallet, providing access to source code, documentation, and review guidelines including references to the most critical components. The repositories concerned are https://github.com/input-output-hk/js-cardano-wasm as well as private git repositories including the front-end and backend-end code.

This document reports the security issues identified and our mitigation recommendations, as well as our general assessment of the wallet implementation and architecture. A "Status" section reports the feedback from IOHK developers, and includes a reference to the patches related to the issues reported. One issue present in the initial report was removed from the final report, after developers noticed that we had misunderstood the expected functionality.

This first version of the report includes:

- 3 security issues of low severity
- 11 observations related to general code safety

Other issues discovered during the engagement are omitted from the present report because we found out that they affect other applications than IOHK's Icarus wallet. These issues will be described in a future version of the report.

The audit was lead by Dr. Jean-Philippe Aumasson, VP Technology, jointly with Yolan Romailler, Cryptography Engineer, and involved 6 person-days of work.

2 Findings

This section reports security issues found during the audit.

The "Status" section includes feedback from the developers received after delivering our draft report.

Findings are codenamed IC-XXX-F-NNN with IC for Icarus, where

• XXX can be CRY (Cryptoxide), CAR (Cardano), CJW (Cardano JS wasm), WFE (wallet front-end), WBE (wallet back-end).

• NNN is a counter in 001, 002, etc.

2.1 IC-CRY-F-001: Missing sanity checks in Ed25519 API

Severity: Low

Description

In ed25519.rs, ed25519's signature() does not validate secret_key's length (64 bytes), hence the program will panic if a shorter value is received.

sign_extended() has a similar issue.

Likewise, verify() should validate public_key's length (32 bytes), otherwise the program will panic from Fe::from_bytes(), and exchange() should verify both keys' lengths.

4

Recommendation

Add assert_eq!() statements to ensure that the received keys have the right size.

Status

Addressed in https://github.com/input-output-hk/rust-cardano/issues/189.

2.2 IC-CRY-F-002: Unsafe ReadBuffer methods implementation

Severity: Low

Description

In buffer.rs, some of the ReadBuffer trait methods' implementations could potentially create an integer underflow or overflow:

```
fn position(&self) -> usize { self.capacity() - self.remaining() }
       (...)
3
       fn rewind(&mut self, distance: usize) {
            self.pos -= distance;
            self.parent.len -= distance;
       }
        (...)
10
11
       fn take_next<>(&mut self, count: usize) -> &mut [u8] {
12
            let r = &mut self.parent.buff[self.pos..self.pos + count];
13
            self.pos += count;
14
            self.parent.len += count;
15
16
       }
17
```

If triggered by the caller, these will result in a panic in debug mode (though not in release mode).

Callers of these functions in Cardano don't seem to create any risk.

Recommendation

Verify that the arguments are of sizes that won't underflow/overflow the arithmetic operations.

Status

Addressed in https://github.com/input-output-hk/rust-cardano/issues/190.

2.3 IC-CAR-F-003: Panic upon deserialization of malformed blocks

Severity: Medium

Description

In RawBlock::to_header() method unwraps a Result from the decode() method, which gets this result from deserialize() as implemented for Block values:

However, deserialize() can return an Err value, for example if the second byte of the block is not 0 or 1. The program will then panic when calling unwrap(), which expects an Ok value.

Recommendation

The potential error should be handled, for example using a math or unwrap_or_else().

Status

Addressed in https://github.com/input-output-hk/rust-cardano/issues/191.

2.4 IC-CJW-F-005: Potential out-of-bound read

Severity: Low

Description

fromSeed() takes a seed and gets wallet data from it:

```
export const fromSeed = (module, seed) => {
    const bufseed = newArray(module, seed);
    const bufxprv = newArray0(module, 96);
    module.wallet_from_seed(bufseed, bufxprv);
    let result = copyArray(module, bufxprv, 96);
    module.dealloc(bufseed);
    module.dealloc(bufxprv);
    return result;
    };
```

Here wallet_from_seed() calls the unsafe read_seed(), which reads SEED_SIZE=64 bytes from the seed's address:

```
unsafe fn read_seed(seed_ptr: *const c_uchar) -> hdwallet::Seed {
    let seed_slice = std::slice::from_raw_parts(seed_ptr, hdwallet::SEED_SIZE);
    hdwallet::Seed::from_slice(seed_slice).unwrap()
}

**Ino_mangle*

pub extern "C" fn wallet_from_seed(seed_ptr: *const c_uchar, out: *mut c_uchar) {
    let seed = unsafe { read_seed(seed_ptr) };
    let xprv = hdwallet::XPrv::generate_from_seed(&seed);
    unsafe { write_xprv(&xprv, out) }
}
```

Therefore, if the seed passed to fromSeed() is less than 64 bytes, the program will read out of the argument's bounds.

This seems difficult to exploit to leak memory from the wallet application, however: fromSeed() is called in the wallet client's getCryptoWalletFromSeed, where the seed is obtained from decrypting an encrypted seed:

```
export function getCryptoWalletFromSeed(
    walletSeed: WalletSeed,
    password: string

): CryptoWallet {
    const seed = decryptWithPassword(password, walletSeed.encryptedSeed);
    const seedAsArray = Object.values(seed);
    const wallet = Wallet.fromSeed(seedAsArray).result;
    wallet.config.protocol_magic = protocolMagic;
    return wallet;
}
```

Since the encrypted seed is not authenticated, an attacker could truncate the encrypted data in order to force the usage of a shorter seed. Indeed, the

encryptedSeed is stored in the browser's localStorage, and may therefore be manipulated by attackers.

Similar out-of-bound reads may happen when calling sign() and verify(), which call unsafe Rust code under unchecked length assumptions, in respectively wallet_tx_sign() and wallet_tx_verify().

Recommendation

Validate the size of the seed in fromSeed() at least, and preferably in decryptWithPassword() as well.

Do similar validations to eliminate the risk in sign() and verify(), and check for similar unchecked length in other exposed functions.

Status

Addressed in https://github.com/input-output-hk/js-cardano-wasm/issues/25.

2.5 IC-CJW-F-007: Lack of parameter validation in signing and verify functions

Severity: Informational

Description

The following wasm wrapper functions call the signing and verification logic given their arguments and configuration, but do not explicitly validate the parameters' validity, and will for example panic if an unwrap() fails:

```
#[no_mangle]
1
       pub extern "C" fn wallet_tx_sign(cfg_ptr: *const c_uchar, cfg_size: usize,
2
        xprv_ptr: *const c_uchar, tx_ptr: *const c_uchar, tx_sz: usize, out: *mut
        c_uchar) {
           let cfg_bytes : Vec<u8> = unsafe { read_data(cfg_ptr, cfg_size) };
           let cfg_str = String::from_utf8(cfg_bytes).unwrap();
           let cfg : Config = serde_json::from_str(cfg_str.as_str()).unwrap();
           let xprv = unsafe { read_xprv(xprv_ptr) };
           let tx_bytes = unsafe { read_data(tx_ptr, tx_sz) };
           let tx : tx::Tx =
       raw_cbor::de::RawCbor::from(&tx_bytes).deserialize().unwrap();
10
           let txinwitness = tx::TxInWitness::new(&cfg, &xprv, &tx.id());
11
12
           let signature = match txinwitness {
                tx::TxInWitness::PkWitness(_, sig) => sig,
14
                _ => unimplemented!()
15
        // this should never happen as we are signing for the tx anyway
           };
16
           unsafe { write_signature(&signature, out) }
17
       }
18
19
20
       #[no_manqle]
       pub extern "C" fn wallet_tx_verify(cfg_ptr: *const c_uchar, cfg_size: usize,
21
        xpub_ptr: *const c_uchar, tx_ptr: *const c_uchar, tx_sz: usize, sig_ptr: *const
        c_uchar) -> i32 {
           let cfg_bytes : Vec<u8> = unsafe { read_data(cfg_ptr, cfg_size) };
22
           let cfg_str = String::from_utf8(cfg_bytes).unwrap();
23
           let cfg : Config = serde_json::from_str(cfg_str.as_str()).unwrap();
24
           let xpub = unsafe { read_xpub(xpub_ptr) };
25
           let signature = unsafe { read_signature(sig_ptr) };
           let tx_bytes = unsafe { read_data(tx_ptr, tx_sz) };
28
           let tx : tx::Tx =
29
        raw_cbor::de::RawCbor::from(&tx_bytes).deserialize().unwrap();
30
           let txinwitness = tx::TxInWitness::PkWitness(xpub, signature);
31
32
           if txinwitness.verify_tx(&cfg, &tx) { 0 } else { -1 }
33
       }
```

These are called in the wallet's Tx. js's sign() and verify() functions.

Recommendation

Explicit input validation checks should be added, and the function should return an appropriate error code upon failure.

Status

Icarus is not concerned by this as this function is not being used at all by Icarus front end. They instead use the Wallet.js's spend() function, which does provide check and report error via JSON output.

(Severity was downgraded from Medium to Informational after this feedback.)

3 Observations

This section reports various observations that are not security issues to be fixed.

Observations are codenamed IC-XXX-O-NNN with IC for Icarus, where

- XXX can be CRY (Cryptoxide), CAR (Cardano), CJW (Cardano JS wasm), WFE (wallet front-end), WBE (wallet back-end).
- NNN is a counter in 001, 002, etc.

3.1 IC-CRY-O-001: Zeroization of memory holding sensitive data

For extra safety, the crypto library could erase sensitive data (such as secret keys) from heap or stack memory after being used.

Status

Addressed in https://github.com/input-output-hk/rust-cardano/issues/192.

3.2 IC-CAR-O-002: Potentially unsafe unwrap() calls

The code includes many unwrap() calls to extract a valid result from a Result, but will panic upon an Err value.

IC-CAR-F-003 is an example of security issue whose root cause is an unwrap().

Status

Addressed in https://github.com/input-output-hk/rust-cardano/issues/193.

3.3 IC-CAR-O-003: Wallet seed derivation method does not check mnemonic length

Wallet:from_bip39_mnemonics() does not check the number of words nor the validity of the words in mnemonic_phrase. The methods called in this function do not perform any check either. However this function does not seem to be used in the current version of the wallet.

Status

Mnemonic length is actually checked, MnemonicString can only be constructed with a valid mnemonic phrase of valid length. If the length is not valid, the type cannot be constructed.

3.4 IC-CAR-O-004: Lack of unit tests of BIP44 logic

Neither bip/bip44.rs nor wallet/bip44.rs include unit tests, which seem necessary unless done elsewhere.

Status

Addressed in https://github.com/input-output-hk/rust-cardano/issues/194.

3.5 IC-CAR-O-005: Clippy warnings

The Clippy linter reports tons of possible improvements, none of which seems related to a security issue.

Status

Clippy warnings and compilation warnings will be addressed over time.

3.6 IC-CAR-O-006: No explicit handling of failed decryption

In Input::get_derivation_path(), HDKey::decrypt_path() is called:

However decrypt_path() will return None if decryption failed, in particular if the authentication tag is not validated:

```
pub fn decrypt_path(&self, payload: &HDAddressPayload) -> Option<Path> {
    let out = self.decrypt(payload.as_ref())?;
    Path::from_cbor(&out).ok()
}
```

That is, derivation_path() returns None both when &self.value.address.attributes.derivation_path is None and when decryption failed.

We suggest to return a Result rather than an Option and return the relevant error in case of a failure.

Status

Addressed in https://github.com/input-output-hk/rust-cardano/issues/195.

3.7 IC-CAR-O-007: Potential risk of integer overflow

In

```
pub fn sum_coins(coins: &[Coin]) -> Result<Coin> {
    coins.iter().fold(Coin::new(0), |acc, ref c| acc.and_then(|v| v + *c))
}
```

The sum could overflow the u64 type in extrme cases, for example if summing hundreds of coins whose value is close to MAX_COIN, which is unlikely to happen. This edge case may be covered nonetheless and the overflow prevented.

Likewise, when Coin values are added, the result is not checked to be below MAX_COIN.

Status

There is a check on the Add trait implementation of the coin. This is why the acc in the fold is used with acc.and_then, which unwraps the result and checks that it is Result::Ok before applying the lambda function (which perform an addition and returns a Result).

- Coin::new() performs a check and returns a Result<Coin>
- Add::<Coin>::add() performs the check that two given coins can add up and will be checked with reusing the Coin::new(lhs.0 + rhs.0)

- Coin::MAX_COIN is 45000000000000000. Coin::MAX_COIN * 2 < u64::MAX
- All the inputs of the sum() function are already valid Coin and are below the Coin::MAX_COIN.

3.8 IC-WFE-O-oo8: No certificate/key pinning

Requests to backendUrl via axios will presumably be done to an HTTPS host for production (cf. the placeholder "backendUrl": "https://DEFINE:443" in production.json). However no certificate pinning is enforced to ensure that the client talks to the right host, and the client will rely on the browser's certificate store. This is not really a security issue, but could be an extra layer of defense if doable.

3.9 IC-WFE-O-009: Dependencies

When attempting to build the project, npm reports that some dependencies include vulnerable ones:

added 599 packages from 621 contributors and audited 6374 packages in 20s found 40 vulnerabilities (6 low, 30 moderate, 4 high) run 'npm audit fix' to fix them, or 'npm audit' for details

(These vulnerabilities do not necessarily apply to the project, however, as it may not use the vulnerable components from these libraries, or may not expose an exploitation vector.)

Furthermore, npm dview reports that a number of dependencies are not up-to-date:

Module Name	Requested	Remote
autoprefixer	7.2.5	9.1.0
bignumber.js	4.0.0	7.2.1
bluebird	3.3.4	3.5.1

cbor	4.0.0	4.1.1
classnames	2.1.3	2.2.6
humanize-duration	3.12.0	3.15.1
mobx	3.1.7	5.0.3
mobx-react	4.1.5	5.2.3
mobx-react-form	1.32.2	1.35.1
mobx-react-router	3.1.2	4.0.4
moment	2.21.0	2.22.2
prop-types	15.6.1	15.6.2
react	15.4.2	16.4.2
react-copy-to-clipboard	4.2.3	5.0.1
react-dock	0.2.3	0.2.4
react-dom	15.4.2	16.4.2
react-intl	2.2.3	2.4.0
react-markdown	2.5.0	3.4.1
react-number-format	^3.3.0	3.5.1
react-polymorph	0.6.5	0.7.1
react-router	3.0.3	4.3.1
react-svg-inline	^2.1.0	2.1.1
safe-buffer	5.1.1	5.1.2
validator	6.3.0	10.5.0

3.10 IC-WBE-O-010: API input validation

Address and transaction validation, as done by validateAddresReq() and validateSignedTransactionReq(), do not make a proper validation of their inputs, as marked as TODO in the code.

We suggest that address validation be done using regexes (unless a simpler complete check is possible).

We suggest to verify that a transaction, i.e. each (sub)field of it, may be checked for syntactic correctness and limit values (for example, a number's range). The signature may or may not be verified at this point: if it is, it allows to process invalid requests faster by avoiding a network round-trip; if the verification is not done, it saves in theory

the time of one signature verification for valid transactions. But this saving will be negligible, given the speed of the signature verification algorithm.

3.11 IC-CJW-O-011: Paper wallet incorrect documentation

The comment "* @param iv: Uint8Array - 4 random bytes of entropy" defines the iv parameter of paper wallet's scrambleStrings(), but the underlying functions actually need an IV of 8 bytes (IV_SIZE), as enforced in scramble().

The comment should be fixed accordingly.

4 About

Kudelski Security is an innovative, independent Swiss provider of tailored cyber and media security solutions to enterprises and public sector institutions. Our team of security experts delivers end-to-end consulting, technology, managed services, and threat intelligence to help organizations build and run successful security programs. Our global reach and cyber solutions focus is reinforced by key international partnerships.

Kudelski Security is a division of Kudelski Group. For more information, please visit https://www.kudelskisecurity.com.

Kudelski Security route de Genève, 22-24 1033 Cheseaux-sur-Lausanne Switzerland

This report and all its content is copyright (c) Nagravision SA 2018, all rights reserved.